Exhibit B

Case3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document316 Filed08/17/11 Page1 of 4 1 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP KING & SPALDING LLP ROBERT A. VAN NEST - #84065 DONALD F. ZIMMER, JR. - #112279 2 rvannest@kvn.com fzimmer@kslaw.com CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - #184325 CHERYL A. SABNIS - #224323 3 canderson@kvn.com csabnis@kslaw.com 633 Battery Street 101 Second St., Suite 2300 4 San Francisco, CA 94111-1809 San Francisco, CA 94105 415, 391,5400 Telephone: Tel: 415.318.1200 5 Facsimile: 415.397.7188 Fax: 415.318.1300 6 KING & SPALDING LLP IAN C. BALLON - #141819 SCOTT T, WEINGAERTNER (Pro Hac ballon@gtlaw.com 7 Vice) HEATHER MEEKER - #172148 sweingaertner@kslaw.com meekerh@gtlaw.com 8 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP ROBERT F. PERRY rperry@kslaw.com 1900 University Avenue BRUCE W. BABER (Pro Hac Vice) East Palo Alto, CA 94303 1185 Avenue of the Americas Tel: 650. 328.8500 New York, NY 10036 10 Fax: 650.328-8508 212.556.2100 Tel: 11 212.556.2222 Fax: 12 Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC. 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 16 17 ORACLE AMERICA, INC., Case No. 3:10-cv-03561-WHA 18 CORRECTED DECLARATION OF TIM Plaintiff, LINDHOLM CONCERNING THE 19 **AUGUST 6, 2010 EMAIL AND DRAFTS** THEREOF 20 GOOGLE INC., Judge: Hon. Donna M. Ryu 21 Defendant. Date Comp. Filed: October 27, 2010 22 Trial Date: October 31, 2011 23 24 25 26 27 28 CORRECTED DECLARATION OF TIM LINDHOLM CONCERNING THE AUGUST 6, 2010 EMAIL AND Case3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document316 Filed08/17/11 Page2 of 4

1

2

I, Tim Lindholm, state:

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

10

12 13

15

16

14

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

I have been employed since 2005 by defendant Google Inc. ("Google") as a Software Engineer in the Systems Infrastructure group at Google. I have never worked on the

Android team, and have had no role in the design, development and/or implementation of the

Android platform.

1.

- 2. I am the author of the August 6, 2010 email and drafts thereof that I understand are the subject of the pending motion to compel filed by Oracle America, Inc. ("Oracle"). I submit this Declaration to provide factual information regarding those documents—namely, that they constituted a communication to a Google attorney and were the result of work that I performed at the direction of Google attorneys.
- 3. Except where I have stated facts on information and belief, I have knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called to testify as a witness thereto could do so competently under oath.
- 4. In late July 2010, Google in-house attorney Ben Lee informed me that he had attended a meeting in which Oracle made patent infringement claims against Google. I understood that Oracle was threatening to sue Google over those claims.
- 5. Mr. Lee asked me to gather certain information related to Oracle's infringement claims. I understood that my work for Mr. Lee would assist Google's legal analysis of Oracle's claims.
- On July 30, 2010, I was asked by Google General Counsel Kent Walker to attend 6. a meeting, convened by him, where we discussed Oracle's infringement claims. The meeting took place at Google's offices in Mountain View, California. Mr. Walker and Mr. Lee attended the meeting, along with Google top management and several Google engineers.
- 7. At the meeting, Mr. Walker asked me to continue to work under Mr. Lee's direction, and to work with Google engineer Dan Grove, also under Mr. Lee's direction, to gather information for Google's lawyers and management to consider in evaluating technology issues related to Oracle's infringement claims.

Case3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document316 Filed08/17/11 Page3 of 4

On August 6, 2011, at 11:05 a.m., I sent an email reporting to Mr. Lee and to

At the end of preparing my email, but before sending the email, I added the words

Andy Rubin, who was then a Google Vice President in charge of Android, concerning certain

topics that Mr. Walker and Mr. Lee had asked me and Mr. Grove to investigate. On information

and belief, I understand that two copies of this document were listed on Google's privilege log as

entries 2551 and 5513 and that one copy of it has been submitted in camera to the Court.

"Attorney Work Product" and "Google Confidential" at the top. I then filled in the "To;" and

"Cc:" fields. In the "To:" field I placed the email addresses of in-house lawyer Mr. Lee and of

1 2 3 8.

9.

- 4
- 6 7

5

- 8
- 10 11
- 12
- 13 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21
- 22 23
- 24
- 25 26
- 27

10. On information and belief, as I was writing the email, Google's electronic-mail computer system automatically saved several unfinished drafts of the email. I understand that eight of these "auto-saves" were produced inadvertently to Oracle and that one auto-save is listed on Google's privilege log as entry 5512. On information and belief, I understand that copies of

the auto-saves have been submitted in camera to the Court.

Mr. Rubin. In the "Cc:" field I put the email addresses of myself and of Dan Grove.

- 11. The auto-saves represent "snapshots" of the email that I ultimately sent, taken at nine different stages of preparation during the roughly five-minute period between 11:01 a.m. and 11:05 a.m.
- 12. The eight inadvertently produced auto-saves have empty "To:" lines because adding the addresses of Mr. Lee and Mr. Rubin was one of the last things that I did. Those eight auto-saves likewise do not show the words "Attorney Work Product" or "Google Confidential" because adding those words was one of the last things that I did. The ninth (and latest in time) auto-save includes the phrases "Attorney Work Product" and "Google Confidential" in the body, and only the character "a" in the "To" field.
- 13. I understand that Oracle's motion seeks production of a document bearing production number GOOGLE-12-00039656. I have been shown that document and can confirm that it is not an auto-save of the email in question and is completely unrelated to any version of that email.

Case 3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document 408-2 Filed 09/08/11 Page 5 of 5

Case3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document316 Filed08/17/11 Page4 of 4

14. In sum, the documents sought by Oracle are really all one document, shown in different stages of preparation over the course of about five minutes. The documents constitute a communication to Google in-house attorney Ben Lee (among others), reporting investigations and analyses that Mr. Grove and I conducted at the request of Google General Counsel Kent Walker, under the supervision of Mr. Lee, and in anticipation of Oracle's threatened lawsuit.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on August 17th, 2011.

y: TIM LINDHOLM